

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,661	04/16/2004	Timm Kuhne	081248-000000US / 61 US 9614	
20350 7	590 12/19/2005		EXAMINER	
TOWNSEND	AND TOWNSEND	LE, HUNG CHARLIE		
TWO EMBAR	CADERO CENTER			
EIGHTH FLO	OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANCI	SCO, CA 94111-3834	,	3725	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/826,661	KUHNE, TIMM			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Hung C. Le	3725			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	pril 2004.				
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	,—				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 16</u> is/are pending in the application	٦.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1 - 16 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	· · ·	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, ,,	- d			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	±0.			
Attachment(s)	∧ □	· (DTO 442)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/02/04 & 7/29/04.	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/826,661 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or
 - REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

ABSTRACT

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because: it is longer than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the supporting surface" in Line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the same shape" in Line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the circumferential direction" in Line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 12, the phrase "predeterminable" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,661 Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the base side" in Line 1. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the die base body" in Line 2. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 16 recites the limitation "the margin" in Line 3. There is insufficient

antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claims 1 – 5, 7, 9 –13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated

by Faivre (5,509,290).

With respect to Claim 1:

Art Unit: 3725

Faivre discloses: A die (10) having a cavity (14) for use in a tool set including at least one punch (6) (see FIG. 1), said die comprising:

an anvil (15) having a surface (16) which defines a bottom of the cavity (14); a plurality of die segments (18); and

a die sleeve (30) disposed circumferentially about the anvil surface (16) and having a plurality of guideways (37) which receive the die segments (18), wherein the die segments (18) are inwardly spring biased and displaceable outwardly in response to movement of the punch (6). (See FIGS. 1 & 2)

With respect to Claim 2:

Faivre further discloses: the said die (10) is round and the guideways (37) are formed by cutouts in the die sleeve (30). (See FIG. 2)

With respect to Claim 3:

Faivre further discloses: at least the die segments (18) designed as ring sections. (See FIGS. 1 & 2)

With respect to Claim 4:

Faivre further discloses: the die segments (18) have side faces (see FIG. 2) which extend along secant lines of the supporting surface (16).

With respect to Claim 5:

Faivre further discloses: die segments (18) are arranged on a supporting surface (16) formed by a die base body (13) having the anvil (15) dispersed in its center (See FIG. 2).

With respect to Claim 7:

Faivre further discloses: all the die segments (18) have the same shape (See FIG. 2, Col. 2, Line 48).

With respect to Claim 9:

Faivre further discloses: annular spring element (26) which circumferentially surrounds the die segments (18) and provides spring biasing (see FIGS. 1 & 2).

With respect to Claim 10:

Faivre further discloses: the die segments (18) each have a rear groove (25) which disposes in the circumferential direction which receives the annular spring element (26) (see FIGS. 1 & 2).

With respect to Claim 11:

Faivre further discloses: the annular spring element (26) comprises an annular spring (see FIG. 2).

With respect to Claim 12:

Faivre further discloses: the die sleeve (30) has a predeterminable thickness relative to the anvil (15), this thickness forming a guide length for the die segment guideways (37) (see FIGS. 1 & 2).

With respect to Claim 13:

Faivre further discloses: the anvil (15) is cylindrical (see FIGS. 1 & 2).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 8, 14 - 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung C. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-8757. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 08:00am - 05:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached on 571-272-4419. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,661 Page 8

Art Unit: 3725

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HCL 12/09/05

DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700